## **EXECUTIVE DECISION**

## made by a Cabinet Member



## REPORT OF ACTION TAKEN UNDER DELEGATED AUTHORITY BY AN INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER

## Executive Decision Reference Number - HCDC01 23/24

Dec	cision
I	Title of decision:
	Commitment on Cap to Resettle those through Home Office Safe and Legal Routes in 2025
2	Decision maker (Cabinet Member):
	Councillor Chris Penberthy (Cabinet Member for Housing, Cooperative Development and Communities)
3	Report author and contact details:
	Jessica Dann (Community Connections technical Lead – Refugees and Asylum Seekers) jessica.dann@plymouth.gov.uk Tel (01752) 306848
4	Decision to be taken:
	Agree in principle that Plymouth City Council will support the government in its commitment to set a cap on the number of people arriving to the UK on safe and legal routes for humanitarian purposes. The decision taken relates to 2025 and will be reviewed annually.
	Agree in principle that Plymouth City Council will resettle up to 30 people during 2025. This will be reviewed quarterly throughout 2024 to ensure that we will not be placing too greater pressure on the local housing market. The decision is dependent on the ability of the Local Authority to source affordable, suitable accommodation that can meet the needs of the identified cohort and to take into account any other humanitarian crises that may require the cap to be amended.
5	<b>Reasons for decision:</b> The cap set by the Home Office under the Illegal Migration Act seeks to ensure that the UK is able to welcome, accommodate and support those arriving through safe and legal routes. The specified routes include the UK Resettlement Scheme (UKRS), the Afghan Citizens Resettlement Scheme (ACRS) and Community Sponsorship.
	These are all Home Office managed migration schemes to relocate those vulnerable people who may be at particular risk when seeking support from a third country as a refugee, including women and girls, those with health conditions and members of minority groups. Referrals and assessments for those eligible for these schemes will be undertaken by the UNHCR.
	Plymouth City Council has resettled 267 persons through similar Resettlement Schemes since 2015. Plymouth City Council recognise that: this is a high priority for the Home Office; the Council has previously participated in similar schemes; and is consistent with the commitment to make Plymouth one of Europe's most vibrant waterfront cities, where an outstanding quality of life is enjoyed by everyone.
	Benefits to this approach will balance local priorities such as access to affordable private rented sector accommodation alongside support the Home Office's objectives of ensuring a safe route of passage for the most vulnerable migrants and retains the corporate values as a co-operative Council who acts fairly

	and responsibly.								
	The Home Office has proposed a fully funded package for resettlement to support people in their initial arrival to the UK, this is either three or five years dependent on the scheme they are resettled under. Ongoing involvement in these schemes will support the future commissioning of the Resettlement Support Service contract, a service that is essential in maintaining the levels of support for all resettled persons coming into the city.								
6	Alternative options considered and rejected:								
	We could refuse the Home Office's request. However, the scheme, which is fully funded, has been successful to date and not accepting any further would be contrary to our local values and would not support the national commitment to resettle Further, it is not clear whether refusal to co-operate with this scheme may result in the Home Office operating the relocation of those individuals brought to the UK on a no-choice basis with no consultation or veto by Local Authorities.								
	The decision is to commit up to 30 people which would ensure that there is future resource to continue to support commissioning of the Resettlement Support Service.								
	Opting to commit to a smaller number of people would not take advantage of the services already commissioned during previous schemes.								
7	Financial implications and risk:								
	All our costs associated with the scheme have been and would continue to be met by a specific Home Office Funding package. The Home Office has committed to retaining the existing funding provision it uses for Resettlement Schemes under UKRS and ACRS.								
	Families will be supported for the first 3 years post arrival for ACRS and 5 years post arrival for UKRS.								
	The grant funding will be maximised to ensure that all staffing resource providing support has been accounted for.								
	The Resettlement Support Service (RSS) is being commissioned to start in FY 24/25 to provide housing; integration and arrival support, assess and complement existing English as a Second Language (ESOL) provision through targeted support, support access to the labour market as well as develop community cohesion initiatives. This contract is currently being negotiated and is currently under an assurance response with one identified partnership in the city. This ensures that people arriving receive the support they need to develop and support a sense of belonging on arrival to Plymouth.								
	PCC has opted to accommodate people within the private housing sector at Local Housing Allowance rates to ensure properties are affordable for families. We have been able to improve the standard of a number of properties having a positive impact on the private rental sector when households decide to move on.								
	Core education costs are being offered to schools accepting children from the scheme and Health costs are made available to NHS Devon Integrated Care Board (ICB) to support initial health screenings, GP registration and other greater health needs.								
	This funding has been sufficient to cover all foreseeable circumstances and the number of people we are proposing to take provides a good level of contingency to deal with unforeseen issues. Through the RSS contract the City is able to offer support to all resettled persons in the City, including those receiving leave to remain via the asylum process. There is a greater level of support available to Scheme households as the grant is ring-fenced, however, it has also enabled service providers to provide a wider service to those in need.								
8	Is the decision a Key Decision?	Yes	No	Per the Constitution, a key decision is					
	(please contact <u>Democratic Support</u>			one which:					
	for further advice)		x	in the case of <b>capital</b> projects and contract awards, results in a new					

					excess of <b>£3million</b> in total							
				×	in the case of <b>revenue</b> projects when the decision involves entering into new commitments and/or making new savings in excess of <b>£1 million</b>							
				×	is <b>significant</b> in terms of its effect on communities living or working in an area comprising <b>two or more</b> wards in the area of the local authority.							
		publication of the Forward Plan of Key										
9	linked to the <b>Q</b>		object	This decision is consistent with our corporate values and objectives to deliver more affordable homes and to support keeping children, adults and communities safe.								
10	Please specify environmenta decision (carb	l implications of the	No sig	No significant impact.								
Urge	ent decisions											
11	Is the decision urgent and to be implemented immediately in the interests of the Council or the				(If yes, please contact Democratic Support ( <u>democraticsupport@plymouth.gov.uk</u> ) for advice)							
	public?		No	x	(If no, go to section 13a)							
l2a	Reason for urgency:											
l 2b	Scrutiny Chair Signature:			Date								
	Scrutiny Committee name:											
	name.		Print Name:									
Con												
Con: 13a	Print Name: sultation Are any other	Cabinet members'	Yes	x								
	Print Name: sultation Are any other	Cabinet members' cted by the decision?	Yes No	x	(If no go to section 14)							
	Print Name: sultation Are any other portfolios affe Which other (		No Cound Skills a	cillor Sally and Appre	Cresswell , Cabinet member for Education,							

l3c	Date	e Cabinet member consulted	22 November 23								
14	Has any Cabinet member declared a conflict of interest in relation to the		Yes		If yes, please discuss with the Monitoring Officer						
	decis	sion?	No	x							
15		ch Corporate Management n member has been consulted?	Name Gary Walbridge								
			Job tit	le	Interim	Strategic I	Director	for Peop	ole, PCC		
				Date consulted		29 November 2023					
Sign	-off										
16	-	off codes from the relevant artments consulted:	Democratic Support (mandatory)					DS76 23/24			
			Finance (mandatory)				DJN.	23.24.15	3		
			Legal (mandatory)					LS/00001312/1/AC/4/ 12/23			
			Human Resources (if applicable)					N/A			
			Corporate property (if applicable)					N/A			
			Procurement (if applicable)					N/A			
Арр	endic	es									
17	Ref. Title of appendix										
	Α	Equalities Impact Assessment									
Con	fident	ial/exempt information		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							
18a		ou need to include any dential/exempt information?	Yes If yes, prepare a second briefing report and indic publication by virtue of					cate why it is not for			
			No	of	f the Loca	,	nent Act	nt Act 1972 by ticking			
				bi				tion as possible in the be in the public			
				Ex	ph Nun	Number					
			I	2	3	4	5	6	7		
18b	Conf title:	fidential/exempt briefing report									

Back	ground Pa	pers								
19	Please list all unpublished, background papers relevant to the decision in the table below.									
	disclose fac the informa	I papers are <u>unpublished</u> works, ts or matters on which the repo tion is confidential, you must ind 2A of the Local Government Act	rt or an ir licate why	nportant it is not	part of for pub	the worl lication b	is based	I. If some	e/all of	
	Title of	background paper(s)		Exe	mption	Paragr	aph Nu	mber		
			I	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Cabi	net Membe	er Signature								
20	Corporate promote ec people who	decision and confirm that it is no Plan or Budget. In taking this dec quality of opportunity, eliminate to share protected characteristics se see the EIA attached.	cision I ha unlawful d	ve given iscrimina	due rega ition and	ard to the promot	e Counci e good r	l's duty to elations l	o Detween	
Signature		This Pabetly	Date of decision			6 December 2023				
Print Name		Councillor Chris Penberthy			I					